

In the Claims

Attached are AMENDED CLAIMS. Claim 1 has been amended by incorporating the subject matter of claim 2. Claim 2 is cancelled.

Claims 4 and 5 expressly state what was clearly implied. Since claim 1 states that the primer and topcoat each contain a heat resistant adhesion promoter and that the heat resistant adhesion promoter in the primer and topcoat may be the same or different, it follows that a Markush grouping of heat resistant adhesion promoters or a specific heat resistant adhesion promoter as recited in claims 4 and 5 could apply to the primer, or the topcoat, or both.

Claim 6 has been deleted and replaced with new claim 25 that incorporates the subject matter of claim 6 but depends from method claim 21. Claim 6 recited and new claim 25 recites ingredients such as surfactants, solvents and defoamers that would only be present in a precursor coating being applied to a substrate, but not to the coated substrate that has been subject to baking.

Claim 21 has been made consistent with claim 1 with regard to the average particle size of the inorganic inert particles.